Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Newton methods for nonsmooth composite optimization

Gilles Bareilles

LJK, Univ. Grenoble Alpes

Journées SMAI MODE 2022 June 1-3, 2022

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
●00	000000	0000	000	00

Introduction

Detecting structure

Exploiting structure

Numerics

Conclusion





Composite optimization: F(x) = g(c(x))

Includes: max. of C^2 functions, max. eigenvalue

Observations

- nondifferentiability points organize in smooth manifolds
- ▶ *F* is smooth on them





Includes: max. of C^2 functions, max. eigenvalue

Observations

- nondifferentiability points organize in smooth manifolds
- ▶ *F* is smooth on them





- manifolds
- ▶ F is smooth on them

These are *structure manifolds*. \diamond Lewis '02

Many algorithms for nonsmooth (composite) optimization:

- ▶ prox-linear methods ◇ Lewis Wright, '16,
- ▶ gradient sampling ◊ Burke Lewis Overton, '05,
- ▶ nonsmooth BFGS ◇ Lewis Overton, '13

Most algorithms are oblivious to structure, *we try to leverage it*.



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
00•	000000	0000	000	00

Composite problem

Find $x^{\star} \in \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) = g \circ c(x)$, with g nonsmooth and c a smooth mapping

Finding a minimizer of F nonsmooth can be seen as:

find the right structure

e.g. which c_i are maximum

leverage the right structure to minimize F e.g. solve smooth problem with smooth constraints

 \rightarrow We replace (nonsmooth) minimization by smooth constrained minimization.

Challenges:

- **1.** How to detect the optimal structure $\mathcal{M}^* \ni x^*$?
- **2.** How to exploit structure to better minimize F?



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	●00000	0000	000	00

Introduction

Detecting structure

Exploiting structure

Numerics

Conclusion

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y) riangleq rgmin_u \left\{ g(u) + rac{1}{2\gamma} \|u-y\|^2
ight\}$$

 y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4

For *simple functions*, the proximity operator can be computed exactly

Example (Prox of max) where τ solves $\sum_{\{i:y_i > \tau\}} (y_i - \tau) = \gamma$ Structure manifold: $\mathcal{M}_I = \{ y : y_i = \max(y) \text{ for } i \in I \}$

Structure: \mathcal{M}_I with $I = \{3\}$

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y) riangleq rgmin_u \left\{ g(u) + rac{1}{2\gamma} \|u-y\|^2
ight\}$$

For simple functions, the proximity operator can be computed exactly

 τ

Example (Prox of max)

$$[\mathbf{prox}_{\gamma \max}(y)]_i = egin{cases} au & ext{if } y_i \geq \ y_i & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

where au solves $\sum_{\{i:y_i> au\}}(y_i- au)=\gamma$

Structure manifold:

$$\mathcal{M}_I = \{ y : y_i = \max(y) \text{ for } i \in I \}$$



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y) riangleq rgmin_u \left\{ g(u) + rac{1}{2\gamma} \|u-y\|^2
ight\}$$

For simple functions, the proximity operator can be computed exactly

 τ

Example (Prox of max)

$$[\mathbf{prox}_{\gamma \max}(y)]_i = egin{cases} au & ext{if } y_i \geq \ y_i & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

where au solves $\sum_{\{i:y_i > \tau\}} (y_i - \tau) = \gamma$

Structure manifold:

$$\mathcal{M}_I = \{ y : y_i = \max(y) \text{ for } i \in I \}$$



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y) riangleq rgmin_u \left\{ g(u) + rac{1}{2\gamma} \|u-y\|^2
ight\}$$

For simple functions, the proximity operator can be computed exactly

γ4 $\gamma = 5$ $prox_{\gamma max}(y) = (τ, 4, τ, 3)$ Structure: \mathcal{M}_I with Example (Prox of max) $[\mathbf{prox}_{\gamma \max}(y)]_i = \begin{cases} \tau & \text{if } y_i \ge \tau \\ y_i & \text{else} \end{cases}$ where au solves $\sum_{\{i:y_i> au\}} (y_i - au) = \gamma$ Structure manifold: $\mathcal{M}_{I} = \{ \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y}_{i} = \max(\mathbf{y}) \text{ for } i \in I \}$

 \rightarrow Computing **prox**_{γg}(y) also gives *structure information* $\mathcal{M} \ni \mathbf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y)$.

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

Lemma (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function g and point \bar{y} with structure \mathcal{M}^{g} that meet two technical assumptions. For all y near \bar{y} ,

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y) \in \mathcal{M}^g$$
 for all $\gamma \in [\varphi^g(\mathsf{dist}_{\mathcal{M}^g}(y)), \Gamma^g]$

where $\Gamma^g > 0$ and $\varphi^g(t) = \frac{1}{c_{ri}}t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$.





Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

Lemma (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function g and point \bar{y} with structure \mathcal{M}^{g} that meet two technical assumptions. For all y near \bar{y} ,

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y) \in \mathcal{M}^g$$
 for all $\gamma \in [\varphi^g(\mathsf{dist}_{\mathcal{M}^g}(y)), \Gamma^g]$

where $\Gamma^g > 0$ and $\varphi^g(t) = \frac{1}{c_{ri}}t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$.





Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

Lemma (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function g and point \bar{y} with structure \mathcal{M}^{g} that meet two technical assumptions. For all y near \bar{y} ,

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y) \in \mathcal{M}^g$$
 for all $\gamma \in [\varphi^g(\mathsf{dist}_{\mathcal{M}^g}(y)), \Gamma^g]$

where $\Gamma^g > 0$ and $\varphi^g(t) = \frac{1}{c_{ri}}t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$.





Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

Lemma (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function g and point \bar{y} with structure \mathcal{M}^{g} that meet two technical assumptions. For all y near \bar{y} ,

$$\mathsf{prox}_{\gamma g}(y) \in \mathcal{M}^g$$
 for all $\gamma \in [\varphi^g(\mathsf{dist}_{\mathcal{M}^g}(y)), \Gamma^g]$

where $\Gamma^g > 0$ and $\varphi^g(t) = \frac{1}{c_{ri}}t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$.





Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

No prox. of F

The prox of $F = g \circ c$ is **not available** (composition is complicated), but we do have $\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma g}$.



Observation: $\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma g}$ can map points to \mathcal{M}^g . The structure naturally lies in the intermediate space.

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider g, c and a point \bar{x} such that $c(\bar{x})$ has structure manifold \mathcal{M}^g and c and \mathcal{M}^g are transversal at $c(\bar{x})$. For all x near \bar{x} ,

 $\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma g}(c(x)) \in \mathcal{M}^g$ for all $\gamma \in [\varphi(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)), \Gamma]$

where $\Gamma > 0$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{c_{map}}{c_{ri}}t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M} = c^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^g)$.

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider g, c and a point \bar{x} such that $c(\bar{x})$ has structure manifold \mathcal{M}^g and c and \mathcal{M}^g are transversal at $c(\bar{x})$. For all x near \bar{x} ,

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma g}(c(x)) \in \mathcal{M}^g$$
 for all $\gamma \in [\varphi(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)), \Gamma]$

where $\Gamma > 0$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{c_{map}}{c_r}t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M} = c^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^g)$.





Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider g, c and a point \bar{x} such that $c(\bar{x})$ has structure manifold \mathcal{M}^g and c and \mathcal{M}^g are transversal at $c(\bar{x})$. For all x near \bar{x} ,

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma g}(c(x)) \in \mathcal{M}^g$$
 for all $\gamma \in [\varphi(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)), \Gamma]$

where $\Gamma > 0$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{c_{map}}{c_r}t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M} = c^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^g)$.





Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider g, c and a point \bar{x} such that $c(\bar{x})$ has structure manifold \mathcal{M}^g and c and \mathcal{M}^g are transversal at $c(\bar{x})$. For all x near \bar{x} ,

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma g}(c(x)) \in \mathcal{M}^g$$
 for all $\gamma \in [\varphi(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{M}}(x)), \Gamma]$

where $\Gamma > 0$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{c_{map}}{c_r}t + \mathcal{O}(t^2)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M} = c^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^g)$.





Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Generally, there are more than one manifolds near x^* .



Importance of γ : too small, detection of \mathcal{M}^* only near x^* ; too large, no detection near x^* .

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

Generally, there are more than one manifolds near x^* .



Importance of γ : too small, detection of \mathcal{M}^* only near x^* ; too large, no detection near x^* .

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	00000	0000	000	00

Generally, there are more than one manifolds near x^* .



Importance of γ : too small, detection of \mathcal{M}^* only near x^* ; too large, no detection near x^* .

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Generally, there are more than one manifolds near x^* .



Importance of γ : too small, detection of \mathcal{M}^* only near x^* ; too large, no detection near x^* .

Take-away: We detect $\mathcal{M}^* \ni x^*$ with $\operatorname{prox}_{\gamma g} \circ c(\cdot)$ with the right range of steps.

 \rightarrow How to choose the step in practice?

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	●000	000	00

Introduction

Detecting structure

Exploiting structure

Numerics

Conclusion

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00
				and the second se

Nonsmooth to smooth

► Structure manifolds provide second order models of the nonsmooth *F*:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{M} \text{ is smooth } & \exists h \text{ smooth s.t. } x \in \mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow h(x) = 0 \\ F \text{ smooth on } \mathcal{M} & \exists \widetilde{F} \text{ smooth s.t. } F|_{\mathcal{M}} \equiv \widetilde{F} \text{ on } \mathcal{M} \end{array}$$

$$\min_x F(x)$$
 and \mathcal{M} **turns into** $\min_x \widetilde{F}(x)$ s.t. $h(x) = 0$.

Example ($F = \max(c_1, c_2)$ **)**

For structure \mathcal{M}_{12} ,

h = c₁ − c₂
 F̃(x) = (c₁ + c₂)/2

► Many tools for smooth constrained optimization: Interior Point Methods, *Sequential Quadratic Programming*, Augmented Lagrangian Methods, ...

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion

Newton step and algorithm

Iteration k:

- Compute $\mathbf{prox}_{\gamma_k g}(c(x_k))$ and obtain \mathcal{M}_k .
- ▶ With structure candidate M_k : SQP step on min_x $\tilde{F}_k(x)$ s.t. $h_k(x) = 0$.

$$egin{aligned} d_k^{ ext{SQP}} &= rgmin_{d\in\mathbb{R}^n} & \langle
abla \widetilde{F}_k(x_k), d
angle + rac{1}{2} \langle
abla_{xx}^2 L_k(x_k, \lambda_k(x_k)) d, d
angle \ ext{ s.t. } & h_k(x_k) + ext{D} \ h_k(x_k) d = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $L_k(x,\lambda) = \widetilde{F}_k(x) + \langle \lambda, h_k(x) \rangle$, and $\lambda_k(x_k) = \arg \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^r} \left\| \nabla \widetilde{F}_k(x_k) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla h_{k,i}(x_k) \right\|^2$

Set
$$x_{k+1} = x_k + d_k^{SQP}$$
 if $F(x_k + d_k^{SQP}) < F(x_k)$.
 $\blacktriangleright \gamma_{k+1} = \frac{\gamma_k}{2}$

Similar works with *heuristic* structure detection: \diamond Womersley Fletcher '86 for max, \diamond NoII Apkarian, '05 for λ_{max} .

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function $F = g \circ c$ and x^* a strong minimizer with structure manifold \mathcal{M}^* that meets the technical assumptions.

If x_0 and $F(x_0)$ are close enough to x^* and $F(x^*)$, γ_0 is large enough and no Maratos effect happens, then there exists C > 0 such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^\star$$
 and $\|x_{k+1} - x^\star\| \leq C \|x_k - x^\star\|^2$ for all k large enough.

- ▶ if $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^{\star}$, the SQP step brings quadratic improvement
- ▶ since γ_k decreases, at some point $\gamma_k \in [\varphi(dist_{\mathcal{M}}(x_k)), \Gamma]$
- \blacktriangleright to stay in that region, decrease γ not too fast



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function $F = g \circ c$ and x^* a strong minimizer with structure manifold \mathcal{M}^* that meets the technical assumptions.

If x_0 and $F(x_0)$ are close enough to x^* and $F(x^*)$, γ_0 is large enough and no Maratos effect happens, then there exists C > 0 such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^\star$$
 and $\|x_{k+1} - x^\star\| \leq C \|x_k - x^\star\|^2$ for all k large enough.

- ▶ if $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^{\star}$, the SQP step brings quadratic improvement
- ▶ since γ_k decreases, at some point $\gamma_k \in [\varphi(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{M}}(x_k)), \Gamma]$
- \blacktriangleright to stay in that region, decrease γ not too fast



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function $F = g \circ c$ and x^* a strong minimizer with structure manifold \mathcal{M}^* that meets the technical assumptions.

If x_0 and $F(x_0)$ are close enough to x^* and $F(x^*)$, γ_0 is large enough and no Maratos effect happens, then there exists C > 0 such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^\star$$
 and $\|x_{k+1} - x^\star\| \leq C \|x_k - x^\star\|^2$ for all k large enough.

- ▶ if $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^{\star}$, the SQP step brings quadratic improvement
- ▶ since γ_k decreases, at some point $\gamma_k \in [\varphi(\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{M}}(x_k)), \Gamma]$
- \blacktriangleright to stay in that region, decrease γ not too fast



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function $F = g \circ c$ and x^* a strong minimizer with structure manifold \mathcal{M}^* that meets the technical assumptions.

If x_0 and $F(x_0)$ are close enough to x^* and $F(x^*)$, γ_0 is large enough and no Maratos effect happens, then there exists C > 0 such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^\star$$
 and $\|x_{k+1} - x^\star\| \leq C \|x_k - x^\star\|^2$ for all k large enough.

- ▶ if $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^{\star}$, the SQP step brings quadratic improvement
- ▶ since γ_k decreases, at some point $\gamma_k \in [\varphi(dist_{\mathcal{M}}(x_k)), \Gamma]$
- \blacktriangleright to stay in that region, decrease γ not too fast



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function $F = g \circ c$ and x^* a strong minimizer with structure manifold \mathcal{M}^* that meets the technical assumptions.

If x_0 and $F(x_0)$ are close enough to x^* and $F(x^*)$, γ_0 is large enough and no Maratos effect happens, then there exists C > 0 such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^\star$$
 and $\|x_{k+1} - x^\star\| \leq C \|x_k - x^\star\|^2$ for all k large enough.

- ▶ if $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^{\star}$, the SQP step brings quadratic improvement
- ▶ since γ_k decreases, at some point $\gamma_k \in [\varphi(dist_{\mathcal{M}}(x_k)), \Gamma]$
- \blacktriangleright to stay in that region, decrease γ not too fast



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function $F = g \circ c$ and x^* a strong minimizer with structure manifold \mathcal{M}^* that meets the technical assumptions.

If x_0 and $F(x_0)$ are close enough to x^* and $F(x^*)$, γ_0 is large enough and no Maratos effect happens, then there exists C > 0 such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^\star$$
 and $\|x_{k+1} - x^\star\| \leq C \|x_k - x^\star\|^2$ for all k large enough.

- ▶ if $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^{\star}$, the SQP step brings quadratic improvement
- ▶ since γ_k decreases, at some point $\gamma_k \in [\varphi(dist_{\mathcal{M}}(x_k)), \Gamma]$
- \blacktriangleright to stay in that region, decrease γ not too fast



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	00

Theorem (B., lutzeler, Malick, '22)

Consider a function $F = g \circ c$ and x^* a strong minimizer with structure manifold \mathcal{M}^* that meets the technical assumptions.

If x_0 and $F(x_0)$ are close enough to x^* and $F(x^*)$, γ_0 is large enough and no Maratos effect happens, then there exists C > 0 such that:

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^\star$$
 and $\|x_{k+1} - x^\star\| \leq C \|x_k - x^\star\|^2$ for all k large enough.

- ▶ if $\mathcal{M}_k = \mathcal{M}^{\star}$, the SQP step brings quadratic improvement
- ▶ since γ_k decreases, at some point $\gamma_k \in [\varphi(dist_{\mathcal{M}}(x_k)), \Gamma]$
- \blacktriangleright to stay in that region, decrease γ not too fast



Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	●00	00

Introduction

Detecting structure

Exploiting structure

Numerics

Conclusion

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics ○●○		Conclusion
Quadratic converger	ice		,	、 、	

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{10}} \max_{i=1,\cdots,5} (c_i(x))$$
$$\mathcal{M}^* = \{x : c_2(x) = \cdots = c_5(x)\}$$

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^{25}}\lambda_{\max}\left(A_0+\sum_{i=1}^n x_iA_i\right)$$

$$\mathcal{M}^{\star} = \{x : \lambda_{\max}(c(x)) \text{ has multiplicity 3}\}$$

Matrices are symmetric, 50×50



11 / 13





Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion
000	000000	0000	000	•0

Introduction

Detecting structure

Exploiting structure

Numerics

Conclusion

Introduction	Detecting structure	Exploiting structure	Numerics	Conclusion ○●
Conclusion				
Take-away mes	isages			
Proximal m	ethods identify smooth strue	cture in nonsmooth compos	site problems	
 We show lo convexity re 	cal <i>exact</i> identification and equired	quadratic rate for $g \circ c$, where	tere g is prox-simple,	no
B. & lutze	ler & Malick: Harnessing st	ructure in composite nonsm	ooth minimization	
			SC	on

Work in progress and perspectives

▶ Drop the locality: i) need more information to identify, ii) globalize constrained Newton

Thank you!

 $Gilles \; {\tt BAREILLES} - {\tt gbareilles.fr}$

Technical assumptions

Normal ascent: g increases at \overline{y} on normal directions:

 $0 \in \mathrm{ri} \operatorname{proj}_{N_{\bar{y}}\mathcal{M}^{g}} \partial g(\bar{y})$

Manifold curves: A function g with structure \mathcal{M}^g at \bar{y} satisfies the curve property if there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{y}}$ of \bar{y} and T > 0 such that, for any smooth application $e : \mathcal{N}_{\bar{y}} \times [0, T] \to \mathcal{M}^g$ verifying $e(y, 0) = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{M}^g}(y)$ and $\frac{d}{dt}e(y, 0) = -\operatorname{grad} g(\operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{M}^g}(y))$, there holds

$$\|\operatorname{proj}_{N_{e(y,t)}\mathcal{M}^g}(e(y,t)-y)\| \leq \operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{M}^g}(y) + \tilde{L} \ t^2 \quad \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{N}_{\bar{y}}, t \in [0,T],$$

where grad $g(p) \in T_p \mathcal{M}^g$ denotes the Riemannian gradient of g, obtained as $\operatorname{proj}_{T_p \mathcal{M}^g}(\partial g(p))$.

No Maratos: near a minimizer x^* , a step d that makes x + d quadratically closer to x^* than x implies descent $F(x + d) \le F(x)$.

Transversality: the mapping $c : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is transversal to manifold $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ at c(x) if:

$$\ker \left(\mathsf{Jac}_c(x)^\top \right) \cap N_{c(x)} \mathcal{M}^g = \{0\}$$

 \Rightarrow if Jac_h(c(x)) is full rank, then Jac_{hoc}(x) is also full-rank.

In the generated instance, the multiplicity of the maximum eigenvalue at optimum is r = 3. The maximum structure of a point, useful in setting γ_0 , is \mathcal{M}_r , with r = 6, and not the matrix size m = 50. Indeed, the codimension of \mathcal{M}_r , that is the dimension of its normal spaces, should be lower than that of \mathbb{R}^n : $r(r+1)/2 - 1 \leq 25$, that is $r \leq 6$ (see the discussion in [?, pp. 555-556, Eq. 2.5]).

Quadratic convergence, BigFloat precision

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{10}} \max_{i=1,\cdots,5} (c_i(x))$$
$$\mathcal{M}^* = \{x : c_2(x) = \cdots = c_5(x)\}$$

Historical maxquad problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{25}} \lambda_{\max} \left(A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i A_i \right)$$

 $\mathcal{M}^{\star} = \{x : \lambda_{\max}(c(x)) \text{ has multiplicity 3}\}$

Matrices are symmetric, 50×50 .

